

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

BRUNSON COMMUNICATIONS, INC., :
 :
 Plaintiff :
 : NO. 02-CV-3223
 v. :
 :
 ARBITRON, INC., :
 :
 Defendant :

PLAINTIFF S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT S
MOTION TO DISMISS SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Brunson Communications, Inc., by its undersigned attorney, hereby requests that this Court deny Defendant s Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff s Second Amended Complaint. The grounds for denial are that the Complaint adequately alleges facts upon which relief can be granted. In support of its response, Plaintiff incorporates the facts, argument and Exhibits set forth in its attached Memorandum of Law.

ROBERT J. SUGARMAN
I.D. Number 03332
Counsel for Plaintiff

OF COUNSEL:

SUGARMAN & ASSOCIATES, P.C.
11th Floor, Robert Morris Building
100 North 17th Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103
215-864-2500

Dated: April 30, 2003

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

BRUNSON COMMUNICATIONS, INC., :
 :
 Plaintiff :
 : NO. 02-CV-3223
 v. :
 :
 ARBITRON, INC., :
 :
 Defendant :

ORDER

AND NOW, this ____ day of _____, 2003, upon
consideration of Defendant Arbitron, Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss
Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint pursuant to F.R.Civ.P.
12(b)(6), and Plaintiff Brunson Communications, Inc.'s Response
thereto, it is hereby

ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that Defendant's motion is
DENIED.

BY THE COURT:

MICHAEL J. BAYLSON, U.S.D.J.